



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The respiratory microbiota during and following mechanical ventilation for respiratory infections in children

Citation for published version:

De Koff, EM, Man, WH, Van Houten, MA, Jansen, NJG, Arp, K, Hasrat, R, Sanders, EAM & Bogaert, D 2020, 'The respiratory microbiota during and following mechanical ventilation for respiratory infections in children', *European Respiratory Journal*, pp. 2002652. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02652-2020>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1183/13993003.02652-2020](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02652-2020)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

European Respiratory Journal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Copyright ©ERS 2020. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Early View

Research letter

The respiratory microbiota during and following mechanical ventilation for respiratory infections in children

Emma M. de Koff, Wing Ho Man, Marlies A. van Houten, Nicolaas J.G. Jansen, Kayleigh Arp, Raiza Hasrat, Elisabeth A.M. Sanders, Debby Bogaert

Please cite this article as: de Koff EM, Man WH, van Houten MA, *et al.* The respiratory microbiota during and following mechanical ventilation for respiratory infections in children. *Eur Respir J* 2020; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02652-2020>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

The respiratory microbiota during and following mechanical ventilation for respiratory infections in children

Authors: Emma M. de Koff^{1,2}, Wing Ho Man^{1,3}, Marlies A. van Houten^{1,4}, Nicolaas J.G. Jansen^{5,6}, Kayleigh Arp², Raiza Hasrat², Elisabeth A.M. Sanders^{2,7}, Debby Bogaert^{2,8}

Affiliations:

1. Spaarne Academy, Spaarne Gasthuis, Hoofddorp and Haarlem, The Netherlands
2. Department of Paediatric Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital and University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
3. Department of Paediatrics, Willem-Alexander Children's Hospital and Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands
4. Department of Paediatrics, Spaarne Gasthuis, Hoofddorp and Haarlem, The Netherlands
5. Department of Paediatric Intensive Care, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital and University Medical Centre Utrecht, The Netherlands
6. Department of Paediatrics, Beatrix Children's Hospital, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
7. Centre for Infectious Disease Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
8. Medical Research Council and University of Edinburgh Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Prof. dr. Debby Bogaert, University of Edinburgh, QMRI, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, United Kingdom, tel. +44 (0) 131 242 6352, e-mail d.bogaert@ed.ac.uk

Take home message: During mechanical ventilation for an LRTI in children, the respiratory microbiota shifted from *Haemophilus*- and *Moraxella*-dominated profiles to

profiles dominated by antibiotic-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*, and *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus* species.

Word	count:	1828/1800
-------------	---------------	-----------

The lower respiratory tract (LRT) harbours distinct, dynamic low-density microbial communities, established through micro-aspiration from the upper respiratory tract (URT) [1–3]. However, during intubation and mechanical ventilation, the endotracheal tube temporarily alters the anatomical continuity between URT and LRT, and may provide a bridge for airborne microbes and a barrier for micro-aspiration. Shortly after intubation for a severe LRT infection (LRTI) in children, the microbiota of the nasopharynx and LRT were shown to be very similar [4]. However, it remains unknown how the respiratory microbial community develops while the child recovers from the infection under treatment with mechanical ventilation and antibiotics. We therefore analysed respiratory microbiota changes in children participating in our study on acute LRTIs and who were admitted to the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) for mechanical ventilation [4].

The subset of 29 infants with community-acquired LRTI who required intubation and ventilation, was recruited between September 2013 and September 2016. The mean age of the cohort was 3.4 months (range 1.0-12.8) with 48% being female. All children were diagnosed with bronchiolitis. Conventional microbiological findings were available for 21 of the children. Antibiotics were administered to 28/29 children (25 co-amoxiclav, 2 cephalosporins, 1 azithromycin), 5 of whom were already started on treatment shortly before PICU admission. We obtained nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs, saliva and endotracheal aspirates (ETA) upon intubation (29 NP, 27 saliva, 25 ETA) and shortly before extubation (16 NP, 15 saliva, 14 ETA), which was on average 5.9 days (SD 2.6) after intubation. Saliva was collected by placing an absorbent sponge in the cheek pouches and under the tongue until it became saturated with saliva, which was immediately transferred into glycerol DEPC medium using a sterile syringe. ETA was collected during routine suctioning of the endotracheal tube without instilling saline. We also obtained 20 NP swabs and 19 saliva samples during a follow-up visit, on average 51.9 days (SD 13.5) after PICU discharge.

Microbiota profiles were generated by sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene V4 hypervariable region. Sequence data was deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (BioProject ID PRJNA669463). Methodological details were previously published [4]. Overall, 29 NP, 27 saliva, and 24 ETA samples at intubation, 12 NP, 14 saliva, and 11 ETA samples at extubation, and 20 NP and 19

saliva samples at follow-up passed quality control (94.5% of available samples) and were eligible for further analysis. Infants with missing extubation samples were not significantly different from those with available samples in terms of baseline microbiota composition, age or sex (data not shown). Bacterial load was estimated by quantitative (q)PCR targeting the 16S rRNA gene [5, 6]. Pneumococcal presence and abundance was tested by *lytA* qPCR.

Alpha diversity was assessed using the Chao1 and Shannon indices for richness and diversity, respectively. Bacterial load and alpha diversity are summarised as median [IQR], and differences by timepoint were evaluated using linear mixed-effect models including subject as a random effect. Differences in overall microbial composition were evaluated by permutational multivariate analysis of variance on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix with permutations constrained within subject. Microbiota clusters were assigned to each sample using unsupervised hierarchical clustering. Biomarker species of each cluster were identified using random forest classifier analysis as previously described [7]. Associations between clusters and timepoints were tested with fisher's exact tests. To assess microbiota concordance between niches, we calculated within-subject Bray-Curtis similarity (1–Bray-Curtis dissimilarity), and Spearman's correlations between individual operational taxonomic unit (OTU) abundances.

Our results show that bacterial load dropped dramatically between intubation and extubation in all niches, though for saliva this difference was not significant (NP: from 92.2 pg/μl [43.9-309.6] to 4.0 pg/μl [1.6-24.0], $p=0.024$; saliva: from 270.3 pg/μl [80.5-771.8] to 113.0 [20.1-290.6], $p=0.158$; ETA: from 126.8 pg/μl [31.6-708.2] to 3.9 pg/μl [2.8-13.4], $p=0.039$). After recovery, the bacterial load had increased only moderately in the NP (to 39.6 pg/μl [13.9-144.6], $p=0.459$), and more strongly in saliva (to 364.8 pg/μl [200.5-775.5], $p=0.014$). At the same time, richness and diversity remained comparable in the NP between intubation and extubation (Chao1: from 47.5 [34.6-62.3] to 46.8 [34.3-54.2], $p=0.840$; Shannon: from 1.17 [0.81-1.87] to 1.53 [0.81-1.75], $p=0.945$). In saliva, richness and diversity decreased between intubation and extubation, though the difference was only significant for diversity (Chao1: from 55.0 [43.1-62.6] to 46.8 [39.4-50.9], $p=0.443$; Shannon: from 2.1 [1.8-2.6] to 1.5 [0.9-1.8], $p<0.001$), which had also significantly increased again after recovery (to 2.3 [1.8-2.6], $p<0.001$). In ETA, we observed a modest non-significant

increase in richness and diversity between intubation and extubation, which seemed mostly driven by an increase in evenness rather than species richness (Shannon: from 0.14 [0.07-0.76] to 0.99 [0.45-1.63], $p=0.112$, Chao1: from 32.8 [29.4-42.8] to 51.0 [40.5-62.3], $p=0.065$). Furthermore, the overall microbial community composition changed significantly between intubation and extubation in both NP ($R^2=5.8\%$, $p<0.001$) and saliva ($R^2=7.6\%$, $p<0.001$) and even more in ETA samples ($R^2=11.2\%$, $p=0.002$; **Figure 1A-C**). Consequently, when compared to recovery samples, the NP and saliva microbiota composition were even more different from the pre-extubation (NP: $R^2=12.8\%$, $p=0.020$; saliva: $R^2=10.2\%$, $p=0.012$) than from the intubation timepoint (NP: $R^2=7.0\%$, $p=0.001$; saliva: $R^2=3.5\%$, $p=0.038$), implying marked ecological impact and deviation from healthy microbiota as a consequence of antibiotic treatment and/or mechanical ventilation within a narrow timeframe.

We then performed clustering of NP, saliva and ETA microbiota profiles and distinguished 7 clusters, characterized by either *Streptococcus (1)* (STREP 1), *Moraxella catarrhalis/nonliquefaciens* (MOR), *Haemophilus influenzae/haemolyticus* (HAEMO), *Corynebacterium propinquum/pseudodiphtheriticum* with *Dolosigranulum pigrum* (COR/DOL), *Streptococcus salivarius* (7) (STREP 2), *Enterobacter/Klebsiella* (ENTERO), or *Staphylococcus aureus/epidermidis* (STAPH) (**Figure 1D-F**). In NP and ETA, the MOR- and HAEMO-clusters predominated at intubation, and diminished following ventilation and antibiotic treatment. The COR/DOL-profile was exclusively found in NP samples and mostly observed after recovery ($p<0.05$). At extubation, the MOR-cluster was only observed in the single infant who did not receive antibiotic treatment. By contrast, in saliva, both STREP 1 and STREP 2-clusters predominated at intubation, with the STREP 2-cluster diminishing at extubation, and being completely absent after recovery (not significant).

Overall, at extubation, the STREP 1-, STAPH- and ENTERO-clusters were most prevalent, in line with expected changes following antibiotic exposure. Within the STREP 1-cluster, a shift from pneumococcal dominance at intubation to non-pneumococcal streptococci pre-extubation was observed (Spearman's correlation *lytA* Ct-values with *Streptococcus (1)* abundance at intubation: $\rho=-0.68$, $p<0.001$; at extubation: $\rho=-0.08$, $p=0.883$). Interestingly, the STAPH-profile was only present in 2 NP samples at intubation, but predominated in ETA at extubation ($p=0.006$). The

ENTERO-cluster was uniquely found at extubation ($p < 0.05$). *Enterobacter/Klebsiella* became the most predominant OTU in 4 children following (2-8 days of) intubation and ventilation (mean abundance NP: 50.8%, range 0.03-99.4%; saliva: 11.9%, range 0.0-45.1%; ETA: 64.5%, range 30.0-99.7%), even though this OTU was mostly absent at intubation, except for one child with a very low abundance in the NP of 0.008%. To identify this OTU at the species level, we attempted to re-culture the corresponding samples, and identified in 3 of those gram-negative strains that were identified as *Enterobacter cloacae* by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Together, these findings imply that the typically hospital-acquired and antibiotic-resistant pathobiont *E. cloacae* colonized and/or became dominant in the respiratory tract of these children during PICU stay. Similarly, we observed dominance of a *Stenotrophomonas* species (77.7% of ETA microbiota) in 1 case pre-extubation, despite it being nearly absent at intubation (0.002%), again suggesting selection or outgrowth during ventilation. In general, conventional culture performed at admission confirmed the predominant pathogens observed in the NP and/or ETA profile of 12/21 children. Culture results were negative in 5/21 children, and confirmation of non-predominant gram positives but lack of detection of the predominant (gram negative) pathogen was observed in 4 children. These findings underline that culture results, especially in children treated with antibiotics, often lack to provide insight in presence and/or predominance of respiratory pathogens.

We previously demonstrated highly concordant NP and ETA microbiota at intubation in this cohort, suggesting the NP is the source community of the LRTI in young children [4]. However, interestingly, NP-ETA concordance at intubation (within-subject median Bray-Curtis similarity 0.66 [IQR 0.44-0.81]), had dropped pre-extubation (0.53 [IQR 0.31-0.63]), although this difference was not significant (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $p = 0.188$). Also, only 36 OTUs (combined relative abundance 36.6%) were still significantly correlated between NP and ETA samples pre-extubation, compared to 74 OTUs (combined relative abundance 84.2%) at intubation, suggesting non-NP microbes may have settled in the LRT community. We therefore investigated whether micro-aspiration could explain these findings, and studied the concordance between saliva and ETA samples both at intubation and pre-extubation. We observed that the concordance in microbial community composition between saliva and ETA was low at both intubation (within-subject

median Bray-Curtis similarity 0.13 [IQR 0.03-0.33]), and pre-extubation (0.17 [IQR 0.04-0.61]). Moreover, the number of OTUs that correlated between both niches dropped from 70 OTUs at intubation (combined relative abundance 57.0%), to 52 OTUs at extubation (combined relative abundance 14.7%). Collectively, our data suggest that the NP is a more important source community for the LRT compared to the oral microbiota in children, and that NP, saliva and ETA microbiota evolve relatively independently during mechanical ventilation, which resulted in increased segregation between the URT and LRT microbial communities.

In summary, we observed that during intubation and ventilation, combined with antibiotic treatment in critically ill children suffering from a community-acquired LRTI, the respiratory microbiota composition clearly changed, even deviating further from 'healthy' profiles. The bacterial load dropped and the relative abundance of predominant pathogens decreased, simultaneously allowing antibiotic-resistant bacteria including *Staphylococcus* species, non-pneumococcal streptococci, and *Enterobacter/Klebsiella* species, to colonize and/or overgrow the respective niches. Furthermore, our data suggest differential effects of intubation/ventilation and/or antibiotic use on microbial communities in the respective niches. Our findings are in line with previous results in adults [8]. However, unlike findings in adults, the LRT microbiota of young children with a severe LRTI reflected the NP more than the oral microbiota before intubation, which did not change during ventilation. The main limitation of this study is its small sample size. Future, larger studies are required to disentangle independent effects of (different) antibiotic therapies and intubation for mechanical ventilation on respiratory microbiota dynamics. Further study is especially important because the respiratory microbiota composition during intubation has recently been related to clinical outcomes in adults. For instance, Dickson and colleagues reported that detection of species of the Enterobacteriaceae family in the lungs of critically ill adults was associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation [9]. Similarly, in a large cohort of mechanically ventilated patients, worse clinical outcomes were related to low alpha diversity combined with pathogen overgrowth in the LRT, in contrast to high alpha diversity with dominance of typically oral taxa [10]. In line with these findings, Woo and colleagues observed that increased abundance of oral taxa including *Streptococcus* during intubation and ventilation were related to successful extubation

[11]. Findings presented here thus warrant similar studies of respiratory microbiota changes during intubation and ventilation in relation to recovery in critically ill paediatric patients, and exploration of methods to prevent rapid in-hospital acquisition and/or enrichment of antibiotic-resistant pathobionts in this already vulnerable patient population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the participating children and their families. We also thank all the nurses and paediatricians of the PICU at the Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, University Medical Centre Utrecht.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to the content of this manuscript.

FUNDING SOURCES

This work was supported in part by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific research (NWO-VIDI; grant 91715359) and CSO/NRS Scottish Senior Clinical Fellowship award (SCAF/16/03). The study was co-sponsored by the Spaarne Hospital Haarlem and the UMC Utrecht, The Netherlands.

References

1. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Freeman CM, McCloskey L, Falkowski NR, Huffnagle GB, Curtis JL. Bacterial Topography of the Healthy Human Lower Respiratory Tract. *mBio* 2017; 8: e02287-16.
2. Pattaroni C, Watzenboeck ML, Schneidegger S, Kieser S, Wong NC, Bernasconi E, Pernot J, Mercier L, Knapp S, Nicod LP, Marsland CP, Roth-Kleiner M, Marsland BJ. Early-Life Formation of the Microbial and Immunological Environment of the Human Airways. *Cell Host & Microbe* 2018; 24: 1–9.
3. Marsh RL, Kaestli M, Chang AB, Binks MJ, Pope CE, Hoffman LR, Smith-Vaughan HC. The microbiota in bronchoalveolar lavage from young children with chronic lung disease includes taxa present in both the oropharynx and nasopharynx. *Microbiome* 2016; 4: 1–18.
4. Man WH, van Houten MA, Mérelle ME, Vlieger AM, Chu MLJN, Jansen NJG, Sanders EAM, Bogaert D. Bacterial and viral respiratory tract microbiota and host characteristics in children with lower respiratory tract infections: a matched case-control study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 2019; 7: 417–426.
5. Bogaert D, Keijser B, Huse S, Rossen J, Veenhoven R. Variability and Diversity of Nasopharyngeal Microbiota in Children: A Metagenomic Analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6: 17035.
6. Biesbroek G, Tsivtsivadze E, Sanders EAM, Montijn R, Veenhoven RH, Keijser BJB, Bogaert D. Early Respiratory Microbiota Composition Determines Bacterial Succession Patterns and Respiratory Health in Children. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2014; 190: 1283–1292.
7. de Steenhuijsen Piters WAA, Heinonen S, Hasrat R, Bunsow E, Smith B, Suarez-Arrabal M-C, Chaussabel D, Cohen DM, Sanders EAM, Ramilo O, Bogaert D, Mejias A. Nasopharyngeal Microbiota, Host Transcriptome, and Disease Severity in Children with Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2016; 194: 1104–1115.
8. Otsuji K, Fukuda K, Ogawa M, Fujino Y, Kamochi M, Saito M. Dynamics of microbiota during mechanical ventilation in aspiration pneumonia. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 2019; 19: 1–12.
9. Dickson RP, Schultz MJ, van der Poll T, Schouten LR, Falkowski NR, Luth JE, Sjoding MW, Brown CA, Chanderraj R, Huffnagle GB, Bos LDJ. Lung microbiota predict clinical outcomes in critically ill patients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2020; 201: 555–563.
10. Kitsios GD, Yang H, Yang L, Qin S, Fitch A, Wang X-H, Fair K, Evankovich J, Bain W, Shah F, Li K, Methé B, Benos P v, Morris A, McVerry BJ. Respiratory Tract Dysbiosis is

Associated With Worse Outcomes in Mechanically-Ventilated Patients. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2020; in press.

11. Woo S, Park S-Y, Kim Y, Jeon JP, Lee JJ, Hong JY. The Dynamics of Respiratory Microbiota during Mechanical Ventilation in Patients with Pneumonia. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2020; 9: 638.

FIGURE 1 LEGEND

A-C. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) biplots based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix visualizing the overall microbiota composition in the nasopharynx (NP, panel A), saliva (panel B) and endotracheal aspirate (ETA, panel C) at time of intubation, extubation and after 2 months recovery time, along with 8 biomarker operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Ellipses represent the standard deviation of the data points per subgroup. D-F. Alluvial plots of cluster transitions in the NP (panel D), saliva (panel E) and ETA (panel F) between time of intubation, extubation and after 2 months recovery time. Hierarchical clustering of all samples based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix identified 7 distinct clusters, characterized by either *Streptococcus* (1) (STREP 1), *Moraxella catarrhalis/nonliquefaciens* (MOR), *Haemophilus influenzae/haemolyticus* (HAEMO), *Corynebacterium propinquum/pseudodiphtheriticum* with *Dolosigranulum pigrum* (COR/DOL), *Streptococcus salivarius* (7) (STREP 2), *Enterobacter/Klebsiella* (ENTERO), or *Staphylococcus aureus/epidermidis* (STAPH). Stacked bars represent the number of samples in each cluster per timepoint, and connections between bars represent transitions of participants with 2 consecutive samples available between timepoints.

